Sri K. MALLAPPA (Minister for Industries and Commerce).—I beg to lay:

Notification No. CI 137 SAD 60 dated 11th December 1962 (Amendments to the Mysore Silk-worm seed and Cocoon (Regulation of production, supply and distribution) Rules, 1960; as required under sub-section (3) of Section 18 of the Mysore Silk-worm Seed and Cocoon (Regulation of product, supply and distribution) Act, 1959.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance) (on behalf of the Minister for Health).—I beg to re-lay:—

Notification No. LLH 93 LWA 60 (ii) dated 8th June 1962 (The Mysore Maternity Benefit Rules, 1960); as required under section 23 of the Mysore Maternity Benefit Act, 1959.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Co-operation and Development).— I beg to lay:—

(i) Notification No. DRL 62 GUL 60, dated 29th August 1962 [The Mysore Taluk Boards (Allowance to Presidents) (Amendment) Rules, 1962];

(ii) Notification No. DRL 25 VAD 62 dated 5th September 1962 [The Mysore Panchayats and Taluk Boards (Limitation for Appeals) (Amendment) Rules, 1962];

(iii) Notification No. DRL 23 VAD 61 dated 12th July 1962 (The Mysore Panchayats Administration Report Rules, 1962);

as required under section 246 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I beg to lay:—

(i) Notification No. DRL 54 RPA 62, dated 4th December 1962 [The Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards (Removal of Difficulties) (No. 2) Order, 1962];

(ii) Notification No. DRL 3 RPA 61, dated 2nd January 1963 [Amendment to the Mysore Taluk Boards (Travelling and Daily Allowance to President and Members of Taluk Boards) Rules, 1960];

as required under section 246 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance) (on behalf of the Minister for Labour, Housing and Transport).—I beg to lay:—

Notification No. LLH 195 ILD 57 dated 18th December 1962 [Amendments to the Industrial Disputes (Mysore) Rules, 1957];

as required under sub-section (4) of section 38 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

INTRODUCTION OF BILL

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1963.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA.—I beg to introduce:

The Mysore Tenants (Temporary Protection from Eviction) (Amendment) Bill, 1963.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

MYSORE TENANCY LAWS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963.

Motion to Consider

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1963, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1963, be taken into consideration."

Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA.—In 1957, Act Nos. 13, 14, 15 and 16 were passed so as to incorporate the existing tenancy laws. Act No. 13 pertained to the Bombay areas that have been integrated with Mysore. Act No. 14 pertains to the Coorg tenancies and Act No. 15 pertains to the Hyderabad and Madras areas and Act No. 16 relates to the old Mysore Area. These acts were amended suitably and the duration of the agricultural lands under the tenancy laws in force in the State of Mysore which was further extended by the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Third Amendment) Act, 1962, is due to expire on the 31st March 1963. As the Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1962, which provides for the repeal of these laws, is not likely to be brought into force immediately, it is necessary to provide for the continuance of the *status quo* until the Mysore Land Reforms Act is brought into force. Hence this Bill.

Since Sri Muckannappa raised the question as to why Government was delaying the enforcement of land reforms I wish to answer him, though that matter is not relevant at this stage. But in order to avoid further discussion on this aspect, I wish to assure the House that the Government is very earnest in enforcing the Land Reforms Act of 1962. But as I have stated several times in this and the other House, in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the matter of the Kerala Agrarian Reforms Act, an amendment to Article 31 (A) of the Constitution, seems to be necessary. That article has to be amended so as to include in its definition the ryotwari system laws now existing in South Kanara District, Kollegal Taluk and Bellary district, which were formerly part of the Madras State. And the Sikimdars of Hyderabad have to be defined so as to include them under that article. So, Sir, the Central Government and the concerned officers of the Planning Commission had come to Mysore and the State Government had a meeting with them in October and the Central Government and the Planning Commission had promised that they would amend article 31 (a) in December. Due to this Emergencey by the invasion of Chinese Army over the territories of India, the Parliament could not take up this matter and amend that